's budget and this will frequently crack projects that would otherwise started under construction this perposed For Release 2002/05/09 in CIA-RDP86-00244R000300020034-5 Where the inflationary trajectory ses down is hard to see. It will not be 5 year, however. Only by increasing allable manpower and installing -re prefabricated construction comnents and systems can costs be held a more digestible rate of annual in-

asc.

.Vinning cooperation of local build-- trades unions in accomplishing se goals is a job that will take the instry more than a year to do. Conetor groups have another chance en labor contract negotiations come this spring. But if they don't make progress in breaking through the -ll of low productivity that the ions' power thrusts on the industry, outlook is for inflation to burn up aual increases in new construction oital.

For construction men, the most sigicant clement in the 1970 outlook is it the construction industry will get ck a significant portion of the priors it lost during the 1966-'68 escalan of the Victnam War. These lost orities started to return during 69's first half. But last fall, federal icts forced them to scramble once

As the Victnam War drains less of ⊇\nation's capital resources, fødcral ionities will swing back to construcon of essential facilities for pollution ntrol water supply, transportation, •using medical care and coucation.

That swing has a long/way to go, wever, before construction will have e same share of the nation's resources it did in the early 1960's. Just how r is illustrated by the fact that to date this fiscal year the Commodity redit Corporation (CCC) has disarsed more than twice as many fedal dollars as the Treasury paid out in deral highway/aid (which comes out the Highway Trust Fund). If highay outlays have been purposely held ack to fight/inflation (trust payments e running 14% behind fiscal '69) why ave CCC/payments\been upped to here they are running about triple the te only/two fiscal years back? The asic reason is that the farm lobby has on heavy federal support, in CCC rice-support payments, to finance a uge whcat glut. For the four years 1966\69, esti-

nated expenditures for new donstruc-

-9/1 put in place dropped below 10% of

GNP). This year, construction put in

ting it over 10% for the first time

main well short of the 11.2% piece of the economic pie that construction could boast of in 1962.

Pollution control to soar. The most definite sign of the pendulum swinging back to construction is the heavy commitment to water pollution control that President Nixon announced early this month. Sewcrage construction will be one of the fastest risers in the 1970 construction market, doing what it had been expected but failed to do in 1969. Much of its gain will start to show up about mid-year, Congress needing that much time to find the necessary funds (fiscal '71) to jack appropriations for water poliution control facilities to somewhere near the \$1 billion authorized for the current fiscal year.

A 27% jump in sewcrage construction will set the pace for heavy construction's over-all gain of 9% forecast for 1970. That will match 1969's rate of increase for heavy construction.

Waterworks contracts will keep climbing, but other water use and control types of heavy construction will feel

place will climb to nearly \$100 billion, the effects of cuts in Corps of Engineers and "S. Burcau of Reclamation con-

> The ordered 75% slash in new federal construction starts that came out late last year pull federal heavy and building construction down this year. But its effects have been much exaggerated because new starts have become a small part of annual contracting schedules of Corps and BuRcc offices. The lion's share of these schedules consists of contracts for additional phases of continuing projects that started one or more ycars ago.

Offsetting this weakness in federal water resource construction in the west (where it is concentrated) will be the California Department of Water Resources. This biggest of nonfederal water project contracting agency plans to lift its 1970 awards 25% in dollar volume.

Airports to spark transport. A 5% risc in transportation types of construction this year pales beside 1969's whopping 26% jump. The slowdown is charged to two factors. The fact that 1969 was a rebound year for highway and bridge awards (from the Septem-

Construction Outlook - Inflation

These key factors shape 1970's outlook

- Construction contracts will rise 7% in dollars, markedly slower growth than 1969's spurt of 11%.
- Contract awards for all construction other than homebuilding will set a new all-time high that will total more than \$53 billion.
- Spending for new construction put in place will climb about 8% to a record \$100 billion as measured by the Census Bureau's estimate.
- · Heavy construction will set the pace for this year's rise in awards. The sparkplugs for another annual risc of 9% in this major part of the construction market will be water pollution control and airport terminals, both to climb by more than 20% over their 1969 record volumes.
- Multi-unit residential building contracts will increase more than homebuilding and faster than heavy construction.
- Homcbuilding will increase slightly in dollar volume, but starts will hold about even for this turnaround year, which should see the annual rate of starts get back to 1.8 million units by December.
- · Office building heads for another record in a try to catch up with demand.
- Electric utilities must push power plant starts to lift generating capacity closer to power dcmand projected a few years hence.
- Manufacturers will move cautiously in starting new plant construction.
- · Construction costs will risc 7%, cating up the additional funds flowing to construction and preventing the industry from catching up with much deferred yet essential public works.
- · More money will become available, mainly because the federal government and manufacturing industrics will borrow less. Long-term money costs will drop slightly because demand will remain very high for state, municipal, residential and commercial building.
- · Gross National Product will slow its growth again this year to only 6%, compared to 9% in 1968. Of the estimated \$987 billion, GNP in 1970 new =/tal U.S. output of goods an Approved For Release 2002/05/09nt €1A1 Rt 1 8 00244 1 000 5 000 200 34-5 1965.